

**Push-down Automata**  
**= FA + Stack**

# PDA

## Definition

A push-down automaton  $M$  is a tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

$Q$  is a finite set of states

$\Sigma$  is the input alphabet (of terminal symbols, terminals)

$\Gamma$  is the stack alphabet

$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$  is the transition function

$q_0$  is the initial state,  $q_0 \in Q$

$F$  is a set of final states,  $F \subseteq Q$

# PDA

intrinsically  
nondeterministic

## Definition

A push-down automaton  $M$  is a tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

$Q$  is a finite set of states

$\Sigma$  is the input alphabet (of terminal symbols, terminals)

$\Gamma$  is the stack alphabet

$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$  is the transition function

$q_0$  is the initial state,  $q_0 \in Q$

$F$  is a set of final states,  $F \subseteq Q$

# PDA

intrinsically  
nondeterministic

## Definition

A push-down automaton  $M$  is a tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

$Q$  is a finite set of states

$\Sigma$  is the input alphabet (of terminal symbols, terminals)

$\Gamma$  is the stack alphabet

$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$  is the transition function

$q_0$  is the initial state,  $q_0 \in Q$

$F$  is a set of final states,  $F \subseteq Q$

$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$  means that in a state  $q$ , reading input symbol  $a$  and popping  $b$  from the stack, the PDA may change to state  $r$  and push  $c$  on the stack

# PDA

Compute via configurations

# PDA

## Compute via configurations

Given  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  a configuration of  $M$  is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is  $(q_0, w, \epsilon)$ .

# PDA

## Compute via configurations

Given  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  a configuration of  $M$  is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is  $(q_0, w, \varepsilon)$ .

$$(q, w, u) \vDash (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

# PDA

## Compute via configurations

Given  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  a configuration of  $M$  is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is  $(q_0, w, \varepsilon)$ .

$$(q, w, u) \vDash (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

one-step computation



# PDA

## Compute via configurations

Given  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  a configuration of  $M$  is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is  $(q_0, w, \varepsilon)$ .

one-step computation

$$(q, w, u) \models (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

## Definition

The language recognised / accepted by a push-down automaton  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (q_0, w, \varepsilon) \models^* (f, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text{ for some } f \in F\}$$

# PDA

## Compute via configurations

Given  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  a configuration of  $M$  is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is  $(q_0, w, \varepsilon)$ .

$$(q, w, u) \models (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

one-step computation

## Definition

The language recognised / accepted by a pushdown automaton  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (q_0, w, \varepsilon) \models^* (f, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text{ for some } f \in F\}$$

zero-or-more-steps  
computation

# PDA vs. CFG

## Theorem PDA-CFG

A language is context-free if and only if it is recognised by a push-down automaton.

# PDA vs. CFG

## Theorem PDA-CFG

A language is context-free if and only if it is recognised by a push-down automaton.

context-free languages  
generated by CFG  
recognised by PDA

regular languages  
recognised by FA  
generated by regular grammars

# DPDA

Definitions

# DPDA

## Definitions

Two words  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions  $((q,a,b),(r,c))$  and  $((p,d,e),(s,g))$  are **compatible** if  $a$  and  $d$ , as well as  $b$  and  $e$  are inconsistent.

A PDA  $M$  is **deterministic** if no two different transitions are compatible.

# DPDA

## Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions  $((q,a,b),(r,c))$  and  $((p,d,e),(s,g))$  are **compatible** if  $a$  and  $d$ , as well as  $b$  and  $e$  are inconsistent.

A PDA  $M$  is **deterministic** if no two different transitions are compatible.

# DPDA

## Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions  $((q,a,b),(r,c))$  and  $((p,d,e),(s,g))$  are **compatible** if  $a$  and  $d$ , as well as  $b$  and  $e$  are inconsistent.

A PDA  $M$  is **deterministic** if no two different transitions can fire at the same time



# DPDA

## Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions  $((q,a,b),(r,c))$  and  $((p,d,e),(s,g))$  are **compatible** if  $a$  and  $d$ , as well as  $b$  and  $e$  are inconsistent.

A PDA  $M$  is **deterministic** if no two different transitions can fire at the same time

## Definition

A language  $L$  is a deterministic context-free language if there exists a DPDA  $M$  that recognises

$$L\$ = \{w\$ \mid w \in L\}$$

We say then that  $M$  recognises  $L$  and write  $L = L(M)$ .

# DPDA

## Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$  are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions  $((q,a,b),(r,c))$  and  $((p,d,e),(s,g))$  are **compatible** if  $a$  and  $d$ , as well as  $b$  and  $e$  are inconsistent.

A PDA  $M$  is **deterministic** if no two different transitions can fire at the same time

## Definition

A language  $L$  is a deterministic context-free language if there exists a DPDA  $M$  that recognises

$$L\$ = \{w\$ \mid w \in L\}$$

We say then that  $M$  recognises  $L$  and write  $L = L(M)$ .

$\$$  is a fresh symbol, not in  $\Sigma$