

Push-down Automata
= FA + Stack

PDA

Definition

A push-down automaton M is a tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where

Q is a finite set of states

Σ is the input alphabet (of terminal symbols, terminals)

Γ is the stack alphabet

$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\varepsilon \times \Gamma_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ is the transition function

q_0 is the initial state, $q_0 \in Q$

F is a set of final states, $F \subseteq Q$

PDA

intrinsically
nondeterministic

Definition

A push-down automaton M is a tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where

Q is a finite set of states

Σ is the input alphabet (of terminal symbols, terminals)

Γ is the stack alphabet

$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$ is the transition function

q_0 is the initial state, $q_0 \in Q$

F is a set of final states, $F \subseteq Q$

PDA

intrinsically
nondeterministic

Definition

A push-down automaton M is a tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where

Q is a finite set of states

Σ is the input alphabet (of terminal symbols, terminals)

Γ is the stack alphabet

$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$ is the transition function

q_0 is the initial state, $q_0 \in Q$

F is a set of final states, $F \subseteq Q$

$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$ means that in a state q , reading input symbol a and popping b from the stack, the PDA may change to state r and push c on the stack

PDA

Compute via configurations

PDA

Compute via configurations

Given $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ a configuration of M is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is (q_0, w, ϵ) .

PDA

Compute via configurations

Given $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ a configuration of M is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is (q_0, w, ϵ) .

$$(q, w, u) \vDash (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

PDA

Compute via configurations

Given $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ a configuration of M is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is (q_0, w, ε) .

$$(q, w, u) \vDash (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

one-step computation

PDA

Compute via configurations

Given $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ a configuration of M is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is (q_0, w, ε) .

one-step computation

$$(q, w, u) \models (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

Definition

The language recognised / accepted by a push-down automaton $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ is

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (q_0, w, \varepsilon) \models^* (f, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text{ for some } f \in F\}$$

PDA

Compute via configurations

Given $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ a configuration of M is an element in

$$Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

an initial configuration is (q_0, w, ε) .

$$(q, w, u) \models (r, w_1, u_1) \text{ if and only if} \\ w = aw_1, u = bu_2, \text{ and } u_1 = cu_2$$

one-step computation

Definition

The language recognised / accepted by a pda
 $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ is

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (q_0, w, \varepsilon) \models^* (f, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text{ for some } f \in F\}$$

zero-or-more-steps
computation

PDA vs. CFG

Theorem PDA-CFG

A language is context-free if and only if it is recognised by a push-down automaton.

PDA vs. CFG

Theorem PDA-CFG

A language is context-free if and only if it is recognised by a push-down automaton.

context-free languages
generated by CFG
recognized by PDA

regular languages
recognised by FA
generated by regular grammars

DPDA

Definitions

DPDA

Definitions

Two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions $((q,a,b),(r,c))$ and $((p,d,e),(s,g))$ are **compatible** if a and d , as well as b and e are inconsistent.

A PDA M is **deterministic** if no two different transitions are compatible.

DPDA

Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions $((q,a,b),(r,c))$ and $((p,d,e),(s,g))$ are **compatible** if a and d , as well as b and e are inconsistent.

A PDA M is **deterministic** if no two different transitions are compatible.

DPDA

Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions $((q,a,b),(r,c))$ and $((p,d,e),(s,g))$ are **compatible** if a and d , as well as b and e are inconsistent.

A PDA M is **deterministic** if no two different transitions can fire at the same time

DPDA

Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions $((q,a,b),(r,c))$ and $((p,d,e),(s,g))$ are **compatible** if a and d , as well as b and e are inconsistent.

A PDA M is **deterministic** if no two different transitions can fire at the same time

Definition

A language L is a deterministic context-free language if there exists a DPDA M that recognises

$$L\$ = \{w\$ \mid w \in L\}$$

We say then that M recognises L and write $L = L(M)$.

DPDA

Definitions

$$(r,c) \in \delta(q,a,b)$$

Two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ are **consistent** if none is a prefix of the other.

Two PDA transitions $((q,a,b),(r,c))$ and $((p,d,e),(s,g))$ are **compatible** if a and d , as well as b and e are inconsistent.

A PDA M is **deterministic** if no two different transitions can fire at the same time

Definition

A language L is a deterministic context-free language if there exists a DPDA M that recognises

$$L\$ = \{w\$ \mid w \in L\}$$

We say then that M recognises L and write $L = L(M)$.

$\$$ is a fresh symbol, not in Σ