#### Power-Aware Temporal Isolation with Variable-Bandwidth Servers

#### Silviu S. Craciunas, Christoph M. Kirsch, Ana Sokolova Department of Computer Sciences University of Salzburg





### Process Model









• action is a piece of code



### Process Model



• action is a piece of code



#### Process Model



• action is a piece of code



response time

- action is a piece of code
- process is a sequence of actions



time

response time

- action is a piece of code
- process is a sequence of actions
- throughput vs latency of process execution



schedule the processes so that each of their actions maintains its response time



schedule the processes so that each of their actions maintains its response time



schedule the processes so that each of their actions maintains its response time



## Resources and VBS

# virtual periodic resources period $\pi$ limit $\lambda$ utilization $\frac{\lambda}{\pi}$



### Resources and VBS





### Resources and VBS



• VBS is determined by a bandwidth cap (u)

• VBS processes dynamically adjust speed (change resources)

generalization of constant bandwidth servers (CBS)
[Abeni and Buttazzo 2004]

 $\frac{\lambda_1}{\pi_1} \le u \qquad \qquad \frac{\lambda_2}{\pi_2} \le u$ 



### One Process on a VBS









### One Process on a VBS



### One Process on a VBS











VBS





VBS





VBS





VBS





VBS





VBS





VBS





**BS** 





BZ







response time under VBS




















### multiple processes are EDF-scheduled





### multiple processes are EDF-scheduled







# Scheduling Result and Bounds [SIES09]

Processes  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n$  on VBSs  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ are schedulable if  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \leq 1$ 



# Scheduling Result and Bounds [SIES09]

Processes  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n$  on VBSs  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ are schedulable if  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \leq 1$ 

For any action  $\alpha$  on a resource  $(\lambda, \pi)$  we have:

- upper response-time bound  $\left\lceil \frac{load}{\lambda} \right\rceil \pi + \pi 1$
- lower response-time bound  $\left\lceil \frac{load}{\lambda} \right\rceil \pi$
- jitter  $\pi 1$



# Scheduling Result and Bounds [SIES09]

Processes  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n$  on VBSs  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ are schedulable if  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \leq 1$ 

For any action  $\alpha$  on a resource  $(\lambda, \pi)$  we have:

- upper response-time bound  $\left\lceil \frac{load}{\lambda} \right\rceil \pi + \pi 1$
- lower response-time bound  $\left\lceil \frac{load}{\lambda} \right\rceil \pi$
- jitter  $\pi 1$

temporal isolation



# Scheduler Overhead [SIES09]





### Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling



### Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling

### Maintain VBS properties (temporal isolation, bounds)



### Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling

### Maintain VBS properties (temporal isolation, bounds)





### Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling

### Maintain VBS properties (temporal isolation, bounds)





### Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling

### Maintain VBS properties (temporal isolation, bounds)



Possible whenever there is slack in the system



### EDF frequency scaling result:

An EDF-schedulable set of tasks is still schedulable if the processor frequency in between any two release times is set to at least

### $Uc \cdot fmax$

current total utilization of all released tasks in the considered interval of time between two releases





### Slack



### Static Slack

### Slack

### Dynamic Slack

### Action Slack



Frequency is scaled to the sum of the bandwidth caps and not changed at runtime

, Static Slack

### Slack

### Action Slack

### Dynamic Slack



Frequency is scaled to the sum of the bandwidth caps and not changed at runtime

, Static Slack

Frequency is scaled at release time to the sum of the utilizations of the released actions Action Slack



Frequency is scaled to the sum of the bandwidth caps and not changed at runtime

Static Slack

Frequency is scaled at release time to the sum of the utilizations of the released actions Action Slack

New limits are computed for each action such that the upper response-time bound is maintained





Static slack

n $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \cdot f_{max}$ 



Static slack

 $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \cdot f_{max}$ 

#### Action slack

 $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}}{\pi_{i,j}} \cdot f_{max}$ 



Static slack

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \cdot f_{max}$$

#### Action slack

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}}{\pi_{i,j}} \cdot f_{max}$$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}^{*}}{\pi_{i,j}} \cdot f_{max} \qquad \lambda_{i,j}^{*} = \left\lceil \frac{l_{i,j}}{n_{i,j}} \right\rceil \qquad \qquad n_{i,j} = \left\lceil \frac{l_{i,j}}{\lambda_{i,j}} \right\rceil$$



Static slack

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \cdot f_{max}$$

#### Action slack

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}}{\pi_{i,j}} \cdot f_{max}$$

#### Termination slack

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}^{*}}{\pi_{i,j}} \cdot f_{max} \qquad \lambda_{i,j}^{*} = \left\lceil \frac{l_{i,j}}{n_{i,j}} \right\rceil \qquad \qquad n_{i,j} = \left\lceil \frac{l_{i,j}}{\lambda_{i,j}} \right\rceil$$

Termination and action slack can be used separately or together

Power-Aware VBS



### Assuming a simple power model ( $P \propto V^2$ )







# With knowledge of future events: redistribute computation time between periods



With knowledge of future events: redistribute computation time between periods optimal offline method



With knowledge of future events: redistribute computation time between periods optimal offline method feasible online method



With knowledge of future events: redistribute computation time between periods optimal offline method feasible online method

May help to handle: more complex power models



With knowledge of future events: redistribute computation time between periods optimal offline method feasible online method

May help to handle: more complex power models frequency switching cost (time and power)



With knowledge of future events: redistribute computation time between periods optimal offline method feasible online method

May help to handle:

more complex power models

frequency switching cost (time and power)

time overhead included using overhead accounting [RTASI0]













process I modified

other utilization

total utilization





actual improvement depends on the power model

### process I modified

#### other utilization

### total utilization



### Assuming a simple power model ( $P \propto V^2$ )



utilization of the changing action [%]



## Look-ahead online FS-VBS


Assume a simple power model (P  $\propto$  V<sup>2</sup>)





Assume a simple power model (P  $\propto$  V<sup>2</sup>)





Assume a simple power model (P  $\propto$  V<sup>2</sup>)



Modify the limits in each period (whenever possible) s.t. the utilization approximates the average utilization



Assume a simple power model ( $P \propto V^2$ )



Modify the limits in each period (whenever possible) s.t. the utilization approximates the average utilization





#### Server-based scheduling for temporal isolation





 Server-based scheduling for temporal isolation



VBS for variable execution speed





 Server-based scheduling for temporal isolation



VBS for variable execution speed



• Power-aware VBS

