



50% sharing

8e+06





# global size-class locks do not scale

 full compaction only requires constant factor



#### level of sharing determines scalability

#### Real Application Performance



 less compaction may result in better allocation throughput

 size-class fragmentation increases with less compaction but total memory consumption may not

#### TLSF vs. opt., non-comp. CF Performance

	memory (in MB)								
	TLSF	CF(1)	16B blocks)	CF (32B blocks)					
	memory	memory	size-class	memory	size-class fragmentation				
	size	size	fragmentation	size					
Emacs	25.7	34.6	0.46	34.5	0.38				
Hummingbird	203.7	245.3	8.3	245.9	11.4				

	malloc (in clock ticks)					free (in clock ticks)			
	TLSF		$\mathrm{CF}$		TLSF		CF		
	avgmaxtimetime		avg	max	avg	max	avg	max	
			time	time	time	time	time	time	
Emacs	228	93359	260	81662	153	71159	279	74798	
Hummingbird	411	109079	529	98820	500	69192	574	79914	

#### TLSF vs. opt., non-comp. CF Performance

			memo	only 1.3	%
	TLSF	CF (1	16B bloc	of the 35% i	more ks)
	memory	memory	size-cl	memor	y -class
	size	size	fragment	10	gmentation
Emacs	25.7	34.6	0.46	34.5	0.38
Hummingbird	203.7	245.3	8.3	245.9	11.4

	mall	loc (in c	ticks)	free (in clock ticks)				
	TLSF		$\overline{\mathrm{CF}}$		TLSF		CF	
	avg max		avg	avgmaxtimetime		max	avg	max
	time	$\operatorname{time}$ time				time time		time time
Emacs	228	93359	260	81662	153	71159	279	74798
Hummingbird	411	109079	529	98820	500	69192	574	79914

#### TLSF vs. opt., non-comp. CF Performance

[											
		memory (in MB)									
	TLSF	CF(1)	16B blocks)	CF (:	32B blocks)						
	memory	memory	size-class	memory	size-class						
	size	size	fragmentation	size	fragmentation						
Emacs	25.7	34.6	0.46	34.5	0.38						
Hummingbird	203.7	245.3	8.3	245.9	11.4						

	malloc (in clock tick					sometimes			ks)
	Т	LSF	CF		even better				
	avg	max	avg	ma		than TLSF		F	max
	time	time	time	tim		01.		me	time
Emacs	228	93359	260	8166	52	153	71159	279	74798
Hummingbird	411	109079	529	9882	20	500	69192	574	79914

 fragmentation through partitioning dominates CF memory consumption

 opt., non-comp. CF only slightly slower than TLSF

#### Allocation Throughput with Decreasing Compaction Increment



#### System Latency with 8 Threads and Increasing Block Size

Ì



#### Transient Size-Class Fragmentation with Decreasing Compaction Increment

