The Emergence of Networking Abstractions and Techniques in TinyOS

Philip Levis, Sam Madden, David Gay, Joseph Polastre, Robert Szewczyk, Alec Woo, Eric Brewer and David Culler



Seminar Computational Systems

Michael Holzmann

The Emergence of Networking Abstractions and Techniques in TinyOS



Wireless Sensor Networks









Seminar Computational Systems

Overview

- What are Sensor Networks
- Typical Applications and Application Requirements
- TinyOS an OS for wireless sensor networks
- Emergence of Networking Abstractions in TinyOS
 - Single-Hop Communication
 - Multi-Hop Communication
 - Networking Services
- Common Design Techniques
- Conclusion



Universit

Salzburg



Sensor Networks

• Networked systems of small embedded computers



Universit t Salzburg



Application Examples

• Habit/Habitat Monitoring









Shooter Localization

• Localize origin of a bullet in urban setting





Universit t

Salzburg



Pursuer / Evader

• Vehicle Tracking







Application requirements

- Habitat monitoring
 - Low energy consumption
- Shooter localization
 - High sampling rate
 - Time synchronization of nodes
- Pursuer / Evader
 - Localization of mobile nodes
 - More advanced routing





General EmNet Requirements

- Networking issues are at the core of the design of EmNets:
- Communication dominates energy budget
 - Multi-hop communication
- Ad-hoc networks
 - Mobility
 - Deploy large number of nodes without configuration
 - -> no static routing infrastructure possible
- New approaches to network design are required





TinyOS

- Develped at UC Berkeley
- Operating System for Sensor Networks
 - Limited ressources
 - Concurrency intensive operation
- Goal
 - Allow OS to adapt to hardware diversity
 - Still allow applications to reuse common services & abstractions





TinyOS operation

- Has to handle high concurrency
 - Process multiple information flows as opposed to heavy computing
 - Sensor reading, communication, routing, ...
- Interaction with the physical world
 - Real-time requirements
 - E.g. radio communication, sensing data, ...
- Event driven concurrency model
 - Tasks (== deferred execution)
 - Hardware events (interrupts)



Salzhur



TinyOS modularity

- Based on component model:
- Named components
 - Provide Application Code
 - Implement Interfaces
- Interface declares set of functions and event
 - commands downcall vs events upcall
 - Split phase operation (command & event)
 - No blocking (reactivity!)
 - Blocking sequence as state machine
 - Many concurrent operations on single stack (mem!)





Flow of Calls in the Component Graph



Universit t

Salzburg



Emergence of Abstractions in TinyOS

- Examine emerged abstractions and common techniques they exploit – substantially different from GPS?
- Focus on networking abstractions
- Classification into four categories
 - General. OS provides mechanism & policy
 - Specialized. OS provides only meachnism
 - In Flux. Abstraction is part of the application
 - Absent.
- Abstractions moved among those classes as refined.





Single Hop Communication

Active Messages

```
interface SendMsg { // single-hop networking
  command result_t send(uint16_t addr, uint8_t len,
        TOS_MsgPtr msg);
  event result_t sendDone(TOS_MsgPtr msg, result_t
        success);
}
```

- Identifier specifies action to be executed on reception
- AM abstraction did not change but the implementation did:
 - Due to changing HW platforms
 - Radio requires high-frequency low-jitter sampling
 - Real time requirements restrict use of tasks
 - Simplified by raising the SW/HW boundary





Single Hop Network Stacks













Radio hardware abstractions

- Bit level interface
 - Bit sequence written to transmit pin
 - Receive pin sampled at precise times (timer int)
 - High interrupt rate: handler cannot decode/encode
 - Decoder task scheduled every byte time:
 - No Tasks can run longer than a byte time
- Byte-level hardware
 - Reduced interrupt rate
 - Decode/encoding can be done within the handler
 - Increased task length (packet time)





Cost of high-level hardware abstractions

- Moving hw/sw boundary changes division of work between tasks and hw events
- Stack performance improves but disallows capabilities enabled by low-level hardware access
- Raising the boundary is not without cost: Useful features become more complex to provide
 - Power management: Low power listening when idle, turn off radio between samples.
 - Synchronous link-layer acknowledgement: sender / receiver swap roles vs ACK packet





Multi-Hop Communication

- Ad-hoc multi-hop routing
 - Tree-based collection
 - Intra-network routing
 - Dissemination
- Tree-Based Routing
 - Forward packet via parents to root of the tree
 - Key issue: how to discover and maintain routing tree
 - Parent selection algorithms try to:
 - | Minimize end-to-end packet loss
 - Total expected transmissions (including retransmissions)
 - Nodes compute quality estimates on incoming links



Universit

Salzburg



Multi-Hop Communication II

- Intra-Network Routing
 - Is uncommon in TinyOS applications
 - Route discovery and maintainance similar to IP
 - Usually a single route is maintained
- Broadcast Protocols
 - Reliably disseminate data to every node
 - Implementations: Flooding vs Epidemic





Multi-Hop Common Developments

- Neighborhood discovery and link quality estimation
 - Node adresses, link quality, routing metadata
 - Construct routes, adapt to connectivity changes
- All Implementations built on top of AM abstraction
 - Common Interfaces / Augmentations of AM:
 - Send / Intercept IF
 - Signals packet reception to application
 - Monitored forwarding
 - Broadcast with processing at each hop
 - Pass non locally adressed packets up
 Link estimation, neighbor table management





Network Services

- Abstractions to support efficient, low-power networking
- Power Management, Ex. TinyDB





Universit t

Salzburg

Network Services II

- Time Synchronization
 - Ex.: Sensor fusion, Slot Coordination, Communication Scheduling
 - TinyOS provides get/set systemtime and transmit hook
 - Development of general purpose time synchronization was unsuccessful
 - Time Synchronization also appears to emerge as a specialized abstraction





Abstractions and Common Techniques

- General Abstractions
 - AM abstraction
 - Tree Based Routing (Send/Intercept Interface)
- Specialized Abstractions
 - Power Management
 - Time Synchronization
- In-Flux Abstractions
 - Epidemic Propagation
 - Radio MAC
- Absent Abstractions
 - Distributed Cluster Formation
 - Receive Queues



Universit

Salzburg



Common Techniques

- Communication Scheduling and Snooping
 - Two confliction techniques
 - Applications tend to strike a balance
- Cross-Layer Control
 - Application controls
 - | Network services e.g. Time synchronization
 - | Power Management
- Static Resource Allocation
 - Buffer allocation





Conclusion

- Development of Abstractions/Techniques driven by
 - Power Management
 - Limited Ressources
 - Real-Time Constraints
- Most abstractions are still specialized
- Spezialized Implementations offering greater efficiency





Comments on the Paper

- Commendation
 - Analysis / Discussion Section completes each Chapter
 - Pointing out relevant facts
 - Really good presentation
- Criticism
 - Use of terms / abbreviations without prior definition



