Tolerating Memory Leaks Michael Bond Kathryn McKinley

The University of Texas at Austin

October, 2008



Presented by: Maria Martin Concurrency and Memory Management Seminar, winter term 2010 University of Salzburg, Department of Computer Science



Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Introduction

- (what?) A memory leak:
- (why?) computer program consumes memory
- (*how?*) they are **unable to release** it back to the operating system
- (result): out of memory

Introduction



(result): out of memory



Managed languages do not eliminate them

Type safety and garbage collection (reliability)



Managed languages do not eliminate them

Type safety and garbage collection (reliability)





Managed languages do not eliminate them

Type safety and garbage collection (reliability)





Managed languages do not eliminate them Type safety and garbage collection (reliability)

Reachable



Memory Leaks in Deployed Systems

- No immediate symptoms (reproduce, fix, find)
- Escape developers detection (tools for leaks detection)
- Slow & crash real programs (memory exhausted)



Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Leak tolerance goal



Melt movements

- Predicts that stale objects (not used for a while) are likely leaks → disk
- The application try to access an object on disk, it activates it → main memory

Melt movements





Melt leak tolerance: transfers likely leaked objects to disk

Melt

- Melt leak tolerance: transfers likely leaked objects to disk
 - freeing physical and virtual memory
 - much larger than memory \rightarrow delays exhaustion

Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Tolerating Memory Leaks

- Primary objective: illusion
- Invariants:
 - Stale space: separate stale objects from in-use ones (disk)
 - Accesses to stale space:
 - Collector moves objects
 - Application actives objects

- Each collection, objects the program has not accessed since the last one, will be stale ones
- Collector marks objects as stale every collection

- Each collection, objects the program has been accessed, will be unmarked
- Compiler and its instrumentation
 unmarks objects every collection



in-use space

Look for marked references, instead of marked objects



C,D not accessed since the last collection, **all** their incoming references are stale



C,D are stale objects

Heap nearly full → move stale objects to disk



Heap nearly full -> move stale objects to disk



Stale to in-use references \rightarrow problematic



Stale to in-use references \rightarrow problematic



#2: Stub-scion pairs

Stub (stale space)-**scion** (in-use space) **pairs** for each inuse object referenced by at least one stale object



#2: Scion table



stale space

#2 :Stub-Scion Pairs



#2 :Stub-Scion Pairs



in-use space

stale space

#2 : Scion-Referenced Object Becomes Stale



#2 : Scion-Referenced Object Becomes Stale



#3: Activating Stale objects



#3: Activating Stale objects



#3: Application Accesses Stale Object



#3: Application Accesses Stale Object



#3: Application Accesses Stale Object



State Melt diagram



Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Implementation: VM issues

Implemented in Jikes RVM 2.9.2



- Melt design compatible with any tracing collector
 - i.e., for the demonstration is used generational copying collector

Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Performance Evaluation

Benchmarks

(to measure overhead):

DaCapo, SPECjbb2000, SPECjvm98

Platform

(where execution was experimented):

Dual-core Pentium 4

Results

6% overhead on average

Tolerating Leaks

- Evaluation: How well tolerates growing leaks by running them longer and maintaining program performance?
- 10 leaks founded
 - 5 tolerated
 - 2 tolerated but with high overhead (activating many stale objects)
 - 3 doesn't significally help

Tolerating Leaks

Leak	Melt's effect	
Eclipse "Diff"	Tolerates until 24-hr limit (1,000X longer)	
Eclipse "Copy-Paste"	Tolerates until 24-hr limit (194X longer)	
JbbMod	Tolerates until 20-hr crash (19X longer)	
ListLeak	Tolerates until disk full (200X longer)	
SwapLeak	Tolerates until disk full (1,000X longer)	
MySQL	Some highly stale but in-use (74X longer)	
Delaunay Mesh	Short-running	
DualLeak	Heap growth is in-use (2X longer)	
SPECjbb2000	Heap growth is mostly in-use (2X longer)	
Mckoi Database	Thread leak: extra support needed (2X longer)	

Tolerating Leaks

Leak		Melt's effect		
Eclipse "Diff"		Tolerates until 24-hr limit (1,000X longer)		
Eclipse "Copy-Pa	ste"	Tolerates il 24-hr limit (194X longer)		
JbbMod		Tolerahr crash (1	19X longer)	
ListLeak	L	eaky program: has live leaks for improving		
SwapLeak				
MySQL	lon	gevity and performance	(74X longer)	
Delaunay Mesh		significantly		
DualLeak	Heap growth is in-use (2X lo		nger)	
SPECjbb2000		Heap growth is mostly in-use (2X longer)		
Mckoi Database		Thread leak: extra support needed (2X longer)		

Eclipse Diff: Reachable Memory



Eclipse Diff: Reachable Memory

- Conclusions comparing reachable memory for first 1000 iterations:
 - Jikes RVM and Sun JVM fill the heap as the leak grows
 - Melt starts moving stale objects (80% full) and keeps memory usage fairly constant between 100 and 130 MB

Eclipse Diff: Reachable Memory



Eclipse Diff: Performance



Eclipse Diff leak with Melt

 Grow linearly over iterations and have large magnitudes



Eclipse Diff leak with Melt

- In-use objects constant over iterations
- Scions grows linearly over time (small)
- Objects activated increase linearly



Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Conclusion

Finding bugs before deployment is hard
Melt:

Conclusion

- Finding bugs before deployment is hard
- Melt:
 - Requires time & space proportional to in-use memory
 - Preserves safety (activating stale objects on disk)

Conclusion

- Finding bugs before deployment is hard
- Melt:
 - Requires time & space proportional to in-use memory
 - Preserves safety (activating stale objects on disk)
- Developers → time
 Users → illusion

Outline

- Introduction to the problem (why?)
- Leak tolerance: Melt
- Tolerating memory leaks
- Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Related work

Related work

- Publishers follow-on work called Leak
 Pruning that reclaims (i.e., deletes) memory that seems to be leaked, instead of moving it to disk:
- <u>http://www.cse.ohio-</u> <u>state.edu/~mikebond/papers.html#leak-</u> <u>pruning</u>

March 2009



Maria.MartinCiviac@sbg.ac.at