

# Error Handling

- a compiler should not stop parsing if a syntactic error is encountered but instead report the error and then proceed with the analysis
- however, continuation after an error is only possible assuming certain hypothesis about the nature of the error
- choosing hypothesis is difficult
  - missing punctuation symbols are a frequent mistake
  - operator symbols are usually not omitted
  - yet for a parser both kinds of symbols are the same

quality criteria for error handling:

- as many errors as possible should be detected in a single run
- as few additional assumptions as possible about the language should be made
- error handling should not slow down the compiler
- the parser should not grow in size

there are two cases of errors:

1. missing symbols: easy

2. wrong symbols: difficult

pretend as if symbols were present

# Missing Symbols

- the parser proceeds by omitting calls to the scanner

→ example:

- right parenthesis (RPAREN) at the end of a factor is missing

```
if (symbol == RPAREN)
    getSymbol();
else
    mark(" missing");
```



report error, then continue (mention line, column)

note:

- only weak symbols are omitted in most cases  
(comma, semicolon, closing symbols)

- special case:  
equality sign instead of assignment sign  
"==" "=="

→ can also be skipped!

# Wrong Symbols

$\text{factor} = (\text{identifier selector}) \mid \text{integer} \mid "(" \text{expression} ")" \mid$   
 $\text{procedureCall} \mid "!" \text{factor} \mid \text{string}.$

$\text{First}\langle \text{factor} \rangle = \{\text{IDENTIFIER, INTEGER, LPAREN, NEG, STRING}\}$

rarely missed!

→ strong symbols

```
factor() {  
  if (symbol == IDENTIFIER) {  
    ...  
  } else if (symbol == INTEGER) {  
    ...  
  } else if (symbol == LPAREN) {  
    ...  
  } else if (symbol == NEG) {  
    ...  
  } else if (symbol == STRING) {  
    ...  
  } else  
    error();  
}
```

→ serve as synchronization points where parsing can be resumed with high probability of success!

→ look at your grammar and identify synchronization points!

skip symbols until a strong symbol is reached!

→  $\text{symbol} \notin \text{First}\langle \text{factor} \rangle?$

```
factor() {  
  while (symbol != IDENTIFIER && symbol != INTEGER &&  
    symbol != LPAREN && symbol != NEG &&  
    symbol != STRING) {  
    getSymbol();  
    mark("identifier, integer, (, !, or string expected");  
  }  
  if (symbol == IDENTIFIER) {  
    ...  
  } else if (symbol == INTEGER) {  
    ...  
  } ...  
}
```

skip symbols until  $\text{symbol} \in \text{First}\langle \text{factor} \rangle$

check for EOF!

token encoding in ranges enables constant-time check:  $\text{symbol} < \text{IDENTIFIER} \ \&\& \ \text{symbol} > \text{STRING}$

# Sequences

statementSequence = { statement ";" } .

First<statementSequence> = First<statement>

First<statement> = { IDENTIFIER, IF, WHILE, RETURN }

Follow<statementSequence> = { END }

parser fragment:

symbol  $\in$  First<statementSequence>?

```
while (symbol == IDENTIFIER || symbol == IF ||  
      symbol == WHILE || symbol == RETURN) {  
    statement();  
    if (symbol == SEMICOLON)  
        getSymbol();  
    else  
        error();  
}
```

";" expected!

instead we do:

symbol  $\notin$  First<statementSequence>?

```
while (true) {  
    while (symbol != IDENTIFIER && symbol != IF &&  
          symbol != WHILE && symbol != RETURN) {  
        getSymbol();  
        mark("identifier, if, while, or return expected");  
    }  
    statement();  
    if (symbol == SEMICOLON)  
        getSymbol();  
    else  
        mark("; missing");  
    if (symbol == END)  
        return;  
}
```

check for EOF!

skip symbols until  
symbol  $\in$  First<statement>

symbol  $\in$  Follow<statementSequence>?

→ handle other sequences similarly!

# Termination, Robustness, Usefulness

- termination:
  - had at least one symbol in each loop iteration
- robustness:
  - no input leads to a crash
- usefulness:
  - correct diagnosis of frequent errors with minimal additional error messages

