## Formale Systeme Proseminar

Tasks for Week 6: 7.11.19

The first two tasks remained from last time.

- Task 1 Show the following equivalences by calculating with propositions. Always state precisely: (1) which standard equivalence(s) you use, (2) whether you apply Substitution or Leibnitz, or both, and (3) how you do this.
  - (a)  $P \lor (P \land Q) \stackrel{val}{=} P$

(b) 
$$P \wedge (P \lor Q) \stackrel{val}{=} P$$

- (c)  $P \Rightarrow \neg Q \stackrel{val}{=} \neg (P \land Q)$
- Task 2 Show with a calculation that the following formulas are tautologies

(a) 
$$\neg (P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (P \land \neg Q)$$
  
(b)  $P \lor \neg ((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P)$ 

- **Task 3** Show with calculations that for arbitrary sets A and B, we have  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $B^c \subseteq A^c$ .
- Task 4 Check with a calculation whether the following abstract propositions are equivalent:
  - (a)  $((a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow \neg a)$  and  $(\neg b \lor \neg a) \land (\neg b \lor b)$
  - (b)  $a \wedge b$  and  $(\neg a \lor b) \Leftrightarrow a$

 ${\bf Task} \ {\bf 5} \ {\bf Prove with \ a \ calculation \ that}$ 

- (a)  $(A^c)^c = A$  for any set A
- (b)  $A \cup (A \cap B) = A$  for any two sets A and B.
- **Task 6** Check for every pair of propositions given below whether they are comparable (one is stronger than the other), or whether they are incomparable.
  - (a)  $P \lor Q$  and  $P \land Q$
  - (b) P and  $\neg(P \lor Q)$
  - (c) P and  $\neg(P \Rightarrow Q)$

Task 7 Are the following statements valid? Why?

- (a) If  $P \stackrel{val}{\models} Q$  and  $Q \stackrel{val}{\models} R$  and  $R \stackrel{val}{\models} S$ , then  $P \stackrel{val}{\models} S$ . (a) If  $P \models Q$  and  $Q \models R$  and  $R \models S$ , then  $P \models S$ . (b) If  $P \models Q$  and  $P \models R$ , then  $Q \stackrel{val}{=} R$ . (c) If  $P \models Q$  and  $P \models R$ , then Q and R are incomparable.